Australian Public Service

Employee Census **2022** 9 May –10 June



Highlights Report **APSC**



CONTENT						
	Page					
Exploring your results	2					
Employee Engagement: Say, Stay, Strive	3					
Leadership	4					
Communication and Change	6					
Workplace Conditions	7					
Inclusion	9					
Enabling Innovation	10					
Wellbeing Policies and Support	11					
Wellbeing	12					
Performance	14					
Retention	16					
Unacceptable Behaviour	18					
Demographics	21					
Time to Take Action	23					
Guide to this Report	24					

RESPONSES: 322 of 353

RESPONSE RATE:
91%



EXPLORING YOUR RESULTS



Take time to understand your report. Consider your response rate to determine how representative your results are of the views of your colleagues.



Most questions in this report have information about the proportion of colleagues responding positively, neutrally or negatively.



Identify the areas where you are performing well. These will tend to be high results which are notably above any comparative results. Celebrate these results.



Identify areas that need improvement. These will be the lower results, and/or those which are scoring notably below your comparators.



Generally a difference of -/+ 5 percentage points is worthy of attention, but the size of the group is important. Changes in small groups can be unreliable.

Australian Government


Australian Public Service Commission

EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT: SAY, STAY, STRIVE



HOW ENGAGED IS YOUR TEAM?

EMPLOYEE
ENGAGEMENT IS MORE
THAN SIMPLY JOB
SATISFACTION OR
COMMITMENT TO AN
ORGANISATION. IT IS
THE EXTENT TO
WHICH EMPLOYEES
ARE MOTIVATED,
INSPIRED AND
ENABLED TO IMPROVE
AN ORGANISATION'S
OUTCOMES.



KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative




2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 03.

LEADERSHIP - IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR



IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR

THE IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR SCORE ASSESSES HOW EMPLOYEES VIEW THE LEADERSHIP BEHAVIOURS OF THEIR IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR IN LINE WITH THE APS LEADERSHIP CAPABILITY FRAMEWORK.



Australian Government

Australian Public Service Commission

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 04.

LEADERSHIP - SES MANAGER



SES MANAGER

THE SES MANAGER SCORE ASSESSES HOW EMPLOYEES VIEW THE LEADERSHIP BEHAVIOURS OF THEIR IMMEDIATE SES MANAGER IN LINE WITH THE APS LEADERSHIP CAPABILITY FRAMEWORK.

2	YOUR SES MANAGER LEADERSHIP INDEX	RESPONSE S	CALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
	SCORE				0	+7 0	+2	+8�
	My SES manager clearly articulates the direction and priorities for our area	74	16 10	74 %	-2	+6 ۞	-1	+10 🗗
	My SES manager presents convincing arguments and persuades others towards an outcome	74	20	74 %	+1	+12 🚳	+2	+12 🔂
Manager	My SES manager promotes cooperation within and between agencies	81	14	81%	0	+15 🐼	+4	+15 🔷
SES Ma	My SES manager encourages innovation and creativity	76	17	76 %	0	+11 🐼	+4	+13 🚱
	My SES manager creates an environment that enables us to deliver our best	74	18 8	74 %	+2	+10 🐼	+1	+13 🚱
	My SES manager ensures that work effort contributes to the strategic direction of the agency and the APS	81	15	81%	-2	+7 ₲	-1	+9 🏠
	Other similar questions							
	In my agency, the SES work as a team	55	34 11	55 %	+4	+1	-5 ♥	+5 0
AII SES	In my agency, the SES clearly articulate the direction and priorities for our agency	65	23 13	65%	+6 🐼	+1	-4	+70
	In my agency, communication between SES and other employees is effective	62	25 13	62 %	+90	+80	0	+13 🚱
KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTA COMPARATOR	AGE POINTS LESS	THAN		Positive Ne	utral Negative	

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 05.



COMMUNICATION AND CHANGE



COMMUNICATION


THE
COMMUNICATION
SCORE MEASURES
COMMUNICATION
AT THE INDIVIDUAL,
GROUP AND
AGENCY LEVEL.

9	YOUR COMMUNICATION INDEX SCORE	RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021 +2	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL +5	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES +3	VARIANCE FROM SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
tion	My supervisor communicates effectively	82 11	82%	0	+1	0	+50
Communication	My SES manager communicates effectively	79 13 8	79 %	0	+9 0	+2	+13 🚱
Con	Internal communication within my agency is effective	69 18 13	69%	+86	+12 🕥	+7 @	+17 🚱

CHANGE

EFFECTIVE
COMMUNICATION IS
AN IMPORTANT
PART OF ANY
CHANGE PROCESS.
NOTE THESE
QUESTIONS DO NOT
CONTRIBUTE TO
THE ABOVE INDEX
SCORE.

Other similar questions



KEY

AT LI

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative



2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 06.

WORKPLACE CONDITIONS

	RESPONSE SCALE		% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
My job gives me opportunities to utilise my skills	83	8 9	83 %	-4	+4	+1	+2
I have a choice in deciding how I do my work	80	16	80%	+4	+16 🚱	+8	+7 0
Where appropriate, I am able to take part in decisions that affect my job	83	9 7	83%	+7 0	+13 🚱	+7 ©	+11 🚱
I am clear what my duties and responsibilities are	71	24	71 %	-1	-9 0	-7♥	-7♥
I am satisfied with the recognition I receive for doing a good job	80	10 10	80%	+60	+13 🚱	+6♠	+13 🚱
I am fairly remunerated (e.g. salary, superannuation) for the work that I do	77	11 12	77 %	-6♥	+17 🕥	+4	+16 🚱
I am satisfied with my non-monetary employment conditions (e.g. leave, flexible work arrangements, other benefits)	86	8	86%	-1	+10 🚱	+6 ₽	+60
I am satisfied with the stability and security of my job	86	10	86%	0	+5♠	+1	+11 🚱
I am confident that if I requested a flexible work arrangement, my request would be given reasonable consideration	90	7	90%	0	+12 🚱	+80	+80

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

0

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative



WORKPLACE CONDITIONS

	RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
I feel a strong personal attachment to the APS	65 26 9	65 %	-11 👁	+2	+2	+10 🟠
I understand how my role contributes to achieving an outcome for the Australian public	88 7	88%	-1	-4	-3	-3
I believe strongly in the purpose and objectives of the APS	88 9	88%	-6♥	+3	+1	+6�
	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
What best describes your current workload?						
Well above capacity - too much work		19%	-4	-4	-2	-7 •
Slightly above capacity - lots of work to do		41%	-4	+1	+2	+1
At capacity - about the right amount of work to do		29%	+10 🐼	0	0	+2
Slightly below capacity – available for more work		9%	-1	+3	+1	+3
Well below capacity - not enough work		1%	-1	0	-1	0

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

COMPARATOR

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN

Positive Neutral Negative



2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 08.

INCLUSION AND FLEXIBLE WORKING

	RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
My agency supports and actively promotes an inclusive workplace culture	83 11	83%	+1	+4	+1	+7 6
My supervisor actively ensures that everyone can be included in workplace activities	88 8	88%	-	+4	+2	+6♠
I receive the respect I deserve from my colleagues at work	89 9	89%	+10 🐼	+7 0	+5 ○	+10 🐼
	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
Do you currently access any of the following flexible working arrangements? [Multiple Response]						
Part time		16%	-3	+2	+2	+2
Flexible hours of work		29%	-1	+3	+9	0
Compressed work week		5 %	+2	+2	+2	+1
Job sharing		1%	0	0	0	0
Working away from the office/working from home		73 %	+90	+18 🚱	+13 🚳	+7 6
None of the above		16%	-8♥	-11 👁	-11 👁	-2
	EAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN PARATOR		Posit	ive Neutral Ne	gative	

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 09.




ENABLING INNOVATION



ENABLING INNOVATION

THE INNOVATION SCORE ASSESSES BOTH WHETHER EMPLOYEES FEEL WILLING AND ABLE TO BE INNOVATIVE, AND WHETHER THEIR AGENCY HAS A CULTURE WHICH ENABLES THEM TO BE SO.




KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR





2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 10.

WELLBEING POLICIES AND SUPPORT



WELLBEING

THE WELLBEING SCORE PROVIDES A MEASURE OF THE PRACTICAL AND CULTURAL ELEMENTS THAT ALLOW FOR A SUSTAINABLE AND HEALTHY WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

+	YOUR WELLBEING POLICIES AND SUPPORT INDEX	RESPONSE S	CALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
	SCORE				+3	+2	0	О
oort	I am satisfied with the policies/practices in place to help me manage my health and wellbeing	64	25 11	64%	-3	0	-2	-1
and support	My agency does a good job of communicating what it can offer me in terms of health and wellbeing	60	23 17	60%	+5♠	-4	-5♥	-5♥
policies	My agency does a good job of promoting health and wellbeing	63	23 14	63 %	+9♠	0	-1	-1
Wellbeing p	I think my agency cares about my health and wellbeing	70	20 10	70 %	+9♠	+80	+5♠	+4
- Me	I believe my immediate supervisor cares about my health and wellbeing	91		91%	+1	+5♠	+2	+5 ⊙


KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR





2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 11.

WELLBEING

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
How often do you find your work stressful?						
Always		3 %	-2	-2	-1	-1
Often		19%	-8 👁	-7 ©	-5 ♥	-7 ♥
Sometimes		55 %	+7 0	+5 ♦	+4	+5 0
Rarely		19%	+1	+1	0	+1
Never		4%	+2	+2	+2	+1
To what extent is your work emotionally demanding?						
To a very large extent	I	4%	+1	-3	-1	-2
To a large extent		12%	-7 •	-9 0	-6 0	-80
Somewhat		38 %	-5 0	-1	-1	0
To a small extent		27 %	+3	+3	+1	+1
To a very small extent		18%	+80	+9 0	+7 	+80

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

O

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR





WELLBEING

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
I feel burned out by my work						
Strongly agree		6%	-2	-2	-1	-3
Agree		20%	-80	-4	-3	-3
Neither agree nor disagree		29%	+3	-3	-2	-1
Disagree		33 %	+5 ♦	+3	+1	+2
Strongly disagree		12%	+2	+5 0	+4	+4
In general, would you say that your health is:						
Excellent		10%	-3	0	-1	-1
Very good		33 %	0	-1	-3	-2
Good		39 %	+4	+1	+2	+2
Fair		16%	0	+1	+2	+2
Poor		3 %	-1	-1	-1	0

KEY



0

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR





PERFORMANCE

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
In the last month, please rate your workgroup's overall performance						
Excellent		29%	+1	+1	-2	+1
Very good		56%	+1	+1	+1	+2
Average		14%	-1	-1	+1	-1
Below average		0%	-2	-2	-2	-2
Well below average		1%	+1	+1	+1	+1
In the last month, please rate your agency's success in meeting its goals and objectives						
Excellent		17 %	0	+1	-2	0
Very good		60%	+7 •	+5 ♦	+2	+9 0
Average		20%	-6♥	-4	0	-5♥
Below average		2%	0	-1	0	-3
Well below average		1%	-1	-1	0	-2

KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

0

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 14.



PERFORMANCE

	RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
My workgroup has the appropriate skills, capabilities and knowledge to perform well	79 11 9	79 %	+1	Ο	-3	-1
My workgroup has the tools and resources we need to perform well	55 17 29	55 %	-2	-7 O	-11 👁	-1
The people in my workgroup use time and resources efficiently	76 18	76 %	-4	-2	-3	-1
My workgroup can readily adapt to new priorities and tasks	85 8	85%	-2	0	-2	+2
The people in my workgroup cooperate to get the job done	90	90%	+3	+2	0	+2

KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative



2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 15.

RETENTION



EMPLOYEES WHO
INDICATED THAT THEY
WANTED TO LEAVE
THEIR CURRENT
POSITION AS SOON AS
POSSIBLE OR WITHIN
THE NEXT 12 MONTHS
WERE ASKED WHAT
THEIR PLANS WERE.

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM SMALI SIZED AGENCIES
Vhich of the following statements best reflects your urrent position?	current thoughts about working in your					
I want to leave my position as soon as possible		9%	-6 0	-1	0	-1
I want to leave my position within the next 12 months		32 %	-3	+9♠	+4	+10 🐼
I want to stay working in my position for the next one to two years		42 %	+5 0	+5 0	-1	+50
I want to stay working in my position for at least the next three years		17%	+4	-13 👁	-3	-14 🛇
Vhat best describes your plans involved with leaving	your current position?	3 %	-1	-3	0	-2
	your current position?	3 % 17 %	-1 +5 •	-3 -24 ♥	0 -23 ♥	-2 0
I am planning to retire	your current position?					
I am planning to retire	your current position?	17%	+5 ♠	-24 O	-23♥	0
I am planning to retire I am pursuing another position within my agency I am pursuing a position in another agency	your current position?	17% 60%	+5 ⊙ -11 ⊙	-24 ♥ +35 ۞	-23 ♥ +31 ۞	O +18 Q

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 16.



RETENTION



EMPLOYEES WERE ALSO ASKED FOR THE PRIMARY REASON BEHIND THEIR DESIRE TO LEAVE AND COULD SELECT ONE RESPONSE FROM A LIST OF ITEMS.

ONLY THE FIVE **REASONS FOR** LEAVING WITH THE HIGHEST PROPORTION OF RESPONSES ARE PRESENTED HERE. THESE MAY VARY BETWEEN AGENCIES, WORK UNITS AND WITH RESULTS FOR THE APS OVERALL.

RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
What is the primary reason behind your desire to leave your current position? (5 higresponses):	ghest				
I am looking to further my skills in another area	15%	-	-	-	-
I have achieved all I can in my current position	12%	-	-	-	-
I wish to pursue a promotion opportunity	12%	-	-	-	-
I want to try a different type of work or I'm seeking a career change	9%	-	-	-	-
My expectations for work in my current position have not been met	8%	-	-	-	-

KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENT AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

PAGE 17. 2022 APS Employee Census



UNACCEPTABLE BEHAVIOUR



EMPLOYEES WHO HAD PERCEIVED DISCRIMINATION IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS IN THE COURSE OF THEIR EMPLOYMENT WERE ASKED WHAT THE BASIS WAS FOR THE DISCRIMINATION. EMPLOYEES COULD SELECT ONE OR MORE RESPONSES FROM A LIST OF ITEMS.

ONLY THE THREE
TYPES OF
DISCRIMINATION WITH
THE HIGHEST
PROPORTION OF
RESPONSES ARE
PRESENTED HERE.
THESE MAY VARY
BETWEEN AGENCIES,
WORK UNITS AND
WITH RESULTS FOR
THE APS OVERALL.

DISCRIMINATION	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCI FROM SMA SIZED AGENCIE
During the last 12 months and in the course discrimination on the basis of your background						
Yes		7 %	-6♥	-3	-2	-3
No		93%	+60	+3	+2	+3
Did this discrimination occur in your current	agency?					
Yes		52 %	-12 🗷	-39 O	-34 🔮	-35 O
No		48%	+12 🐼	+39 🏠	+34 🗗	+35♠
Basis for the discrimination that you experie	enced (3 highest responses):					
Gender		50%	-	-	-	-
Race		18%	-	-	-	_

KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR



2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 18.

UNACCEPTABLE BEHAVIOUR



EMPLOYEES WHO
PERCEIVED
HARASSMENT OR
BULLYING IN THE LAST
12 MONTHS WERE
ASKED WHAT TYPE OF
HARASSMENT OR
BULLYING THEY
EXPERIENCED.
EMPLOYEES COULD
SELECT ONE OR MORE
RESPONSES FROM A
LIST OF ITEMS.

ONLY THE THREE
OPTIONS WITH THE
HIGHEST PROPORTION
OF RESPONSES ARE
PRESENTED HERE.
THESE MAY VARY
BETWEEN AGENCIES,
WORK UNITS AND
WITH RESULTS FOR
THE APS OVERALL.

HARASSMENT AND BULLYING	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCI FROM SMA SIZED AGENCIE
During the last 12 months, have you been subjected to haworkplace?	arassment or bullying in your current					
Yes	1	7 %	-5♥	-2	-1	-3
No		91%	+10 🐼	+5♠	+4	+6
Not sure		2%	-5♥	-3	-2	-3
Types of harassment or bullying experienced (3 highest r	responses):					
Interference with work tasks (e.g. withholding needed information, undermining or sabotage)		55 %	-	-	-	-
Inappropriate and unfair application of work policies or rules (e.g. performance management, access to leave, access to learning and development)		41%	-	-	-	-
Verbal abuse (e.g. offensive language, derogatory remarks, shouting or screaming)		41%	-	-	-	-
oid you report the harassment or bullying?						
I reported the behaviour in accordance with my agency's policies and procedures		18%	-10 🔮	-15 ூ	-15 👁	-15 C
It was reported by someone else		14%	+60	+6 	+60	+80
I did not report the behaviour		68%	+4	+9 	+9	+7
KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POIL THAN COMPARATOR	NTS GREATER		AT LEAST 5 COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN	TS LESS THA

Australian Government
Australian Public Service Commission

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 19.

UNACCEPTABLE BEHAVIOUR



EMPLOYEES WHO
INDICATED THAT THEY
HAD WITNESSED
POTENTIAL CORRUPT
BEHAVIOUR WERE
ASKED TO DESCRIBE
THE BEHAVIOUR.
EMPLOYEES COULD
SELECT ONE OR MORE
RESPONSES FROM A
LIST OF ITEMS.

ONLY THE THREE
TYPES OF CORRUPT
BEHAVIOURS WITH
THE HIGHEST
PROPORTION OF
RESPONSES ARE
PRESENTED HERE.
THESE MAY VARY
BETWEEN AGENCIES
AND WITH RESULTS
FOR THE APS
OVERALL.

CORRUPTION	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
Excluding behaviour reported to you as part of your dowitnessed another APS employee in your agency enga may be serious enough to be viewed as corruption?						
Yes		3 %	0	0	+1	-1
No		93%	+5 ♠	+2	0	+6 🐼
Not sure		3 %	-3	-1	0	-2
Would prefer not to answer		1%	-3	-2	-1	-2
appointing them to positions without proper regard to merit Nepotism-preferential treatment of family members, such as appointing them to positions without proper regard to merit		30 %	-	-	-	-
Cronyism-preferential treatment of friends, such as appointing them to positions without proper regard to merit		70 %	-	-	-	-
Fraud, forgery or embezzlement		20%	-	-	-	-
Did you report the potentially corrupt behaviour?						
I reported the behaviour in accordance with my agency's policies and procedures	The data for this question has been hid	den for anony	mity reasons.			
It was reported by someone else	The data for this question has been hidden for anonymity reasons.					
I did not report the behaviour	The data for this question has been hid	den for anony	mity reasons.			
KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE PO THAN COMPARATOR	NTS GREATER	(AT LEAST 5 COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN OR	TS LESS THAN

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 20.



DEMOGRAPHICS

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM SMAL SIZED AGENCIES
low do you describe your gender?						
Man or male		28%	+1	-9♥	-7♥	-10 👁
Woman or female		66%	-2	+7 &	+6 	+9♠
Non-binary		1%	+1	0	0	0
I use a different term		1%	-1	0	0	0
Prefer not to say		4%	+1	+1	+1	0
o you identify as an Australian Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander person?						
Yes		3 %	-3	0	0	0
No		97%	+3	0	0	0
Do you have an ongoing disability?						
Yes		15%	-3	+5♠	+6 	+70
No		85%	+3	-5♥	-6♥	-7 0

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN

COMPARATOR

Australian Government
Australian Public Service Commission

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN

COMPARATOR

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 21.

KEY

DEMOGRAPHICS

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM SMAL SIZED
Do you have carer responsibilities?				OVERALL	AGENCIES	AGENCIES
Yes		46%	+3	+4	+5♠	+4
No		54%	-3	-4	-5♥	-4
oo you identify as Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and/or gender diverse, ntersex, Queer, Questioning and/or Asexual (LGBTIQA+)?						
Yes		14%	+3	+7 	+4	+50
No		86%	-3	-7♥	-4	-5 0
n which country were you born?						
Australia		84%	-2	+7 6	+4	+70
Other country		16%	+2	-7♥	-4	-7 O
Oo you speak a language other than English at home?						
No, English only		86%	-1	+6 	+3	+2
Yes, other		14%	+1	-6♥	-3	-2

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN

COMPARATOR

Australian Government
Australian Public Service C

COMPARATOR

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 22.

KEY

TIME TO TAKE ACTION

CELEBRATE	Q INVESTIGATE FURTHER WITH OUR TEAMS	
What things do we do well?	Are there any other opportunities coming out of the results that we want to explore further?	Areas we need to focus of plans:
THINK ABOUT HOW WE CAN BUILD ON OUR STRENGTHS AND LEARN FROM WHAT WE ARE GOOD AT.	HOW COULD WE INVESTIGATE? THROUGH LOOKING AT THE DATA IN MORE DETAIL OR THROUGH DISCUSSIONS WITH STAFF?	WHAT ARE THE KEY THINGS WE NEED THERE BETTER?

<u>~</u>	OPPORTUNITIES
Areas we ne plans:	eed to focus on and turn into action



USE THIS PAGE TO START YOUR LOCAL **ACTION PLANS**

IDENTIFY AREAS TO CELEBRATE, OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT AND AREAS WHICH YOU NEED TO INVESTIGATE FURTHER.


PRIORITISE 3 AREAS TO TAKE FORWARD

	PRIORITISE 3 AREAS FOR ACTION	TIMESCALES	OWNER	RESOURCES REQUIRED	TARGET/SUCCESS MEASURE
1					
2					
3					

GUIDE TO THIS REPORT

% POSITIVE

WHERE RESULTS ARE SHOWN AS POSITIVE PERCENTAGES (% POSITIVE), THESE ARE CALCULATED BY ADDING TOGETHER POSITIVE RESPONSES ("STRONGLY AGREE" + "AGREE") AND DIVIDING BY THE NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS WHO ANSWERED THE QUESTION.



ROUNDING

RESULTS ARE PRESENTED AS WHOLE NUMBERS FOR EASE OF READING, WITH ROUNDING PERFORMED AT THE LAST STAGE OF CALCULATION FOR MAXIMUM ACCURACY. VALUES FROM X.00 TO X.49 ARE ROUNDED DOWN AND VALUES FROM X.50 TO X.99 ARE ROUNDED UP. THEREFORE IN SOME INSTANCES, RESULTS MAY NOT TOTAL 100%.

	STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE	NEITHER	DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	TOTAL
NUMBER OF RESPONSES	151	166	176	96	24	613
PERCENTAGE	24.63%	27.08%	28.71%	15.66%	3.92%	100%
ROUNDED PERCENTAGE	25%	27%	29%	16%	4%	101%
NUMBER OF POSITIVE	151 + 166 = 317					
% POSITIVE	317 ÷ 613	5 = 52%				

ANONYMITY

IT IS ENGINE'S PRACTICE NOT TO DISPLAY THE RESULTS OF GROUPS OF RESPONDENTS TO THE EXTENT WHERE THE ANONYMITY OF INDIVIDUALS MAY BE COMPROMISED. RESULTS WILL NOT BE SHOWN WHERE THERE ARE LESS THAN 10 RESPONDENTS IN A GROUP.

COMPARISONS WITH RESULTS FROM PREVIOUS YEARS

THE METHOD OF ANALYSING AND REPORTING SPECIFIC RESULTS MAY BE PERIODICALLY REVIEWED AND REVISED. SUCH IMPROVEMENTS ARE APPLIED TO CURRENT DATA AND THAT OF PREVIOUS YEARS. FOR THIS REASON THE CURRENT REPORT IS ALWAYS THE MOST ACCURATE DATA SOURCE FOR APS EMPLOYEE CENSUS RESULTS, INCLUDING COMPARISONS WITH TIME SERIES DATA.

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 24.

